

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,788	03/25/2004	Joseph O. Marsh	FIS920030349US1	2787
32074 7590 01/17/2008 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G			EXAMINER	
			VELEZ, ROBERTO	
BLDG. 300-48 2070 ROUTE :			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/708,788	MARSH ET AL.	MARSH ET AL.				
		Examiner	- Art Unit					
	•	Roberto Velez	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within t Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ce ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> .							
2a) This action	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim	S							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
10) ☐ The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according not request that any objection to the t drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe ion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application					

10/708,788 Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15 and 20, drawn to a circuit, classified in class 324, subclass
 763.
 - II. Claims 16-19, drawn to a method of digitally testing a differential driver circuit, classified in class 324, subclass 765.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, invention I can be used in a process of receiving a differential input signal at a differential input node, amplifying said differential input signal and transmitting a differential output signal onto said differential output node in response to a first signal, not required for the invention II.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Page 3

Application/Control Number:

10/708,788 Art Unit: 2829

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number:

10/708,788

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roberto Velez whose telephone number is 571-272-

8597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am- 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ha Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberto Velez Patent Examiner HA TRAN NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/14/8